Wheathampstead Parish Council Planning Committee 24 June 2015 7.30pm

Present Cllr G Clark Chairman

D Davies A Dante J Shardlow P Wilby

Louise Harrison Deputy Clerk

Press 0 Public 0

4174-15 Apologies for absence and substitutions

Apologies from Cllr Halford

4175-15 Specific Declarations of Interest

Cllr Clark declared an interest on all the plans because of her membership of Plans North, St Albans District Council, and would look at the matter afresh should any plans be discussed at Plans North. Cllr Dante declared an interest in application 5/2015/1551 (customer) and abstained from voting. Cllr Shardlow declared an interest in application 5/2015/1377 (neighbour) and abstained from voting.

4176-15 Minutes

The Chairman proposed and it was agreed that the minutes of the Planning Committee of 18 May 2015 be approved.

Resolved: To confirm the Minutes of the meeting held on 18

May 2015 to be a true and fair account and for

these to be signed by the Chairman.

4177-15 Planning Applications

The following plans were considered and a recommendation to SADC - NO OBJECTION.

5/2015/0511 143 Lower Luton Rd, Single storey side and rear

Wheathampstead extension

AL4 8HQ

Proposed by Cllr Shardlow no objections as long as the application is consistent with Green Belt policy, seconded by Cllr Dante and all agreed.

5/2015/1231 14 Hilltop View Single storey front extension

Wheathampstead

AL4 8AJ

Proposed by the Chariman no objections, all agreed.

5/2015/1618 Pool House Kimpton Single storey side and rear

Bottom, Harpenden extension

AL5 5EA

Proposed by the Chairman no objection provided the application does not exceed Green Belt policy, all agreed.

5/2015/1428 28 Marford Road Front porch and single storey

Wheathampstead rear AL4 8AS extension

Proposed by Cllr Shardlow no objection, seconded by Cllr Wilby

and all agreed.

The following plans were considered and recommended to SADC – REFUSAL.

5/2015/1287

246 Lower Luton Rd Wheathampstead

AL4 8HN

Demolition of existing bungalow and construction of replacement three bedroom dwelling with associated access

Proposed by Cllr Wilby recommends refusal on the grounds that Wheathampstead Parish Council has concerns regarding the proposed application exceeding 40%, seconded by Cllr Shardlow and all agreed with one abstention.

5/2015/1377

23 Dale Avenue Gustard Wood Wheathampstead AL4 8LR Part single, part two storey rear and two storey side extension, front canopy and replacement first floor rear windows Proposed by Cllr Davies recommends refusal owing to concerns that this application is substantially the same as the previous one therefore Wheathampstead Parish Council still recommended refusal on the grounds of overdevelopment of site, seconded by Cllr Wilby and all agreed with one abstention.

5/2015/1536

Road, Harpenden

82 Wheathampstead Three detached houses and new road access

AL5 1JB

Proposed by the Chairman recommend refusal owing to the size, scale and bulk of the application being inconsistent with the pattern of development in the surrounding settlement, all agreed.

5/2015/1552

Manor Farm Mackerye End Harpenden AL5 Single storey rear extension, single storey rear conservatory to be rebuilt, first floor bay window and alterations to

openinas

Proposed by Cllr Shardlow recommends refusal owing to concerns that the application is significant overdevelopment in the Green Belt, seconded by Cllr Davies and all agreed.

5/2015/1642

The Grove, Pipers Lane, Harpenden

Listed building consent detached double garage

AL5 1AJ

5DS

Proposed by the Chairman recommends refusal owing to concerns that further development close to the listed building would be inappropriate, all agreed.

5/2015/1610

The Grove, Pipers Lane, Harpenden

Detached double garage

AL5 1AJ

Proposed by Cllr Shardlow recommends refusal owing to concerns that the size, scale and bulk of the application is inappropriate in the Green Belt and represents overdevelopment in the Green Belt, seconded by Cllr Wilby and all agreed.

5/2015/1643

Northern end of Mill Walk

Wheathampstead

AL4 8DT

Listed Building consent - Part change of use from Class A1 (retail) to Class C3 (dwelling houses), two side facing rooflights, alterations to openings including glazing of existing gable, landscaping to include new railings and paving, internal alterations including addition of mezzanine floor to create one flat and shop (resubmission following withdrawal of 5/2014/2468).

It was proposed by Cllr Shardlow that Wheathampstead Parish Council repeat their comment made in relation the previous application 5/2015/2468 (Wheathampstead Parish Council supports in principal the renovation of this end of The Mill however does have concerns about the viability of changing the status to residential), seconded by Cllr Wilby and all agreed.

5/2015/1631

Northern end of Mill

Walk

Part change of use from Class A1 (retail) to Class C3 (dwelling 4

Wheathampstead AL4 8DT

houses), two side facing rooflights, alterations to openings including glazing of existing gable, landscaping to include new railings and paving, internal alterations including addition of mezzanine floor to create one flat and shop (resubmission following withdrawal of 5/2014/2468).

It was proposed by Cllr Shardlow that Wheathampstead Parish Council repeat the comments made in relation the previous application 5/2015/2468 (Wheathampstead Parish Council supports in principal the renovation of this end of The Mill however does have concerns about the viability of changing the status to residential), seconded by Cllr Wilby and all agreed.

5/2015/1551

Wheathampstead Pay And Play Golf Course Ltd Harpenden Road Wheathampstead AL4 8EZ Remodelling of existing 9 hole golf course, enlargement of

water features,

remodelling of practice range, creation of chip and putting

green areas and

associated landscape works

It was proposed by the Chairman that this application was refused on the following grounds:

Wheathampstead Parish Council welcomes the any development which will increase enterprise and economic activity in the area and the provision of enhanced sporting and leisure facilities for its residents. It is these aspects which were "generally well received" in the meeting in June 2014.

We are concerned however that the development would come at too higher cost to the local community.

- 1. We are aware that the owner will derive considerable shortterm economic benefit from the accepting of 175,000 cubic meters of inert waste onto the land. We are concerned that this may be the driving force behind the proposed development rather than the long term viability of a golf course and asset to the village.
- 2. We welcome the provision of free use of the course for children and young people and we note that this has not be offered with the existing facilities. Whilst we do not doubt the owner's commitment to community involvement this appears to be something only recently acquired.
- 3. We note the proposed marketing initiatives and wonder why these have not been attempted with the course as it is. Whilst we realise that an enhanced course would be more easily marketed, the design and access statement accepts that the existing course has some attractive and marketable features.
- 4. We accept that it is self-evident that the golf course as proposed would be more attractive to new players than the "flat and un-interesting" one it currently is. Beyond the simple assertion that this is "something that the market prefers" there appears to be no further market analysis of where the new players would come from and how this would increase the revenue and viability of the course.
- 5. Whilst we appreciate that the commercial risk is a matter for the owner, the Council is concerned that after a highly disruptive development, the golf course may still not be a viable venture and worse that the land may be rendered unusable.
- 6. We note that consideration has been given in the report to dust, mud and noise generated by the landscaping. Whilst consideration has been given to mitigating these factors they would not be eliminated altogether. We are concerned about the effects that this will have, particularly on the residents of

Amwell , not least since the development will last 12 to 18 months.

- 7. We have considered the Construction Traffic Management Plan and note with very deep concern the extra lorry traffic that the development will entail. The route from junction 9 of the M1 if accepted in the report would require the lorries to travel though Southdown, through the residential Piggottshill Lane and then the partially residential Wheathampstead Road. With 175,000 cubic metres of waste and lorries each carrying 16 tonnes or 10 cubic metres (presuming that each carries its optimum load) this has been calculated as a total or 175,000 separate lorry loads each be carried out within an 18 month period. This works out as up to 60 loads per day between the hours of 7:30am and 18:00 Monday to Friday. This means one laden lorry arriving every 10 minutes which then returns unladen by the same route. Each point along the route a lorry would pass on average every 5 minutes. We are surprised by the assertion in the report that this level of increased traffic would not cause a significant increase in danger to road users, noise and disruption to the residents along the route, traffic congestion, or damage to the roads themselves. The report does not go into any detail how these conclusions are arrived at. We note that consideration might be given to the restriction of the times during which the lorries are operated (although the report does not think it necessary) but this would only increase the frequency of the lorries, to one every three minutes if for example the times were restricted between 9:30am and 3:30pm. It is not clear from the report if there would be any attempt to regulate the flow of lorries and whether there would be clusters at certain times of the day which in itself would cause extra disruption.
- 8. We note from the Environment Impact Statement that careful consideration has been given to the impact of the development if the land continues in use as a golf course.

We are concerned what may happen to the land if it turns out that despite the development, the golf course cannot be run as a going concern. No consideration appears to have been given to what alternative use the land could be put to after it has been landscaped with inert waste. If the only alternative would be to have the waste removed and disposed of elsewhere, the cost may be prohibitive rendering the land for all intents and purposes unusable.

Agreed by all for submission to full Council for recommendation.

The Chairman declared the meeting closed at 21.25hrs

Dated this day of 2015

Chairman